

How Do Christians Understand the Bible's Authority: *Three Methods of Scripture*

D. Gene Williams Jr., PhD
Defend the Word Ministries
NorthPointe Church

INTRODUCTION

Understanding the Bible and how it relates to other sources like church traditions has been debated for centuries. Christians have developed three main ways of thinking about this:

- **Sola Scriptura:** The idea that the Bible alone is our final authority.
- **Prima Scriptura:** The belief that the Bible comes first but allows tradition, reason, and experience to help us interpret it.
- **Sacra Scriptura et Traditio:** The view that both the Bible and Church Tradition are equally important.

In this paper, I will explain these three views, give them the best defense possible (called steelmanning), and show why I believe *Prima Scriptura* is the best way to understand the Bible's authority. We will also briefly explore how *Sola Scriptura* and *Prima Scriptura* often overlap in practice, forming a spectrum rather than rigid categories.

THE DIFFERENT WAYS CHRISTIANS READ THE BIBLE

Sola Scriptura (Bible Alone)

Sola Scriptura means the Bible is the ultimate authority for Christian faith and practice. This view became popular during the Protestant Reformation when people like

Martin Luther argued that church traditions had gone too far, even conflicting with Scripture. Key Bible verses like 2 Timothy 3:16-17 support this view, saying all Scripture is useful for teaching and equipping believers.

Strengths: *Sola Scriptura* ensures that the Bible is the foundation of our beliefs, protecting against traditions that might contradict God's Word. It also empowers every Christian to read the Bible and learn directly from it.

Weaknesses: Critics say this approach can be too rigid or lead to many different interpretations, as people disagree on what the Bible teaches without a guiding tradition.

Prima Scriptura (Bible First)

Prima Scriptura agrees that the Bible is the top authority but acknowledges that traditions, reason, and experiences can help us understand it. This view believes in learning from the early Church and the teachings of Jesus, who often referenced Scripture but also used tradition to make points.

Jesus as Our Model: Jesus respected Scripture but also challenged traditions when they went against God's will. For example, He criticized the Pharisees for misusing traditions that conflicted with God's commands (Matthew 15:3-6). This balance demonstrates how Scripture can remain primary while tradition plays a helpful, secondary role.

Strengths: *Prima Scriptura* provides a balanced way to interpret the Bible. It values tradition but does not let it overpower Scripture. It's flexible and historically grounded, making sense of how early Christians understood their faith.

The Spectrum Between Sola Scriptura and Prima Scriptura: Many Christians operate on a spectrum between these two views. While *Sola Scriptura* focuses on the

Bible alone, many who hold this view still rely on tradition and reason in practice, aligning closely with *Prima Scriptura*. Conversely, *Prima Scriptura* advocates often emphasize Scripture so strongly that they resemble *Sola Scriptura* in practice. This spectrum highlights how these approaches overlap and adapt to different contexts.

Sacra Scriptura et Traditio (Scripture and Tradition Together)

This view, popular in Roman Catholicism and Eastern Orthodoxy, says the Bible and Church Tradition are equally authoritative. Supporters believe the Church has a special role in interpreting Scripture, citing passages like Matthew 16:18-19, where Jesus gives Peter authority.

Strengths: This approach emphasizes unity and continuity, helping prevent misunderstandings by relying on centuries of church wisdom. It also provides stability in Christian teaching.

Weaknesses: Some think it gives too much power to Church Tradition, risking the overshadowing of Scripture.

THE IMPORTANCE OF CONTEXT

A big issue with interpreting the Bible is whether to read it “plainly” (taking everything at face value) or “contextually” (considering the culture and history of the time). For example, Young Earth Creationists read Genesis literally, believing in a six-day creation. But *Prima Scriptura* encourages understanding Genesis in its ancient cultural context, focusing on God’s sovereignty rather than the specifics of creation.

Why Context Matters: If we ignore cultural and historical settings, we might misinterpret Scripture. *Prima Scriptura* allows for a deeper, more meaningful understanding.

CONCLUSION

Each of these views has its strengths. *Sola Scriptura* emphasizes the Bible's clarity and sufficiency. *Sacra Scriptura et Traditio* highlights the importance of Church Tradition. But *Prima Scriptura*, especially through the Jesus Model, strikes the best balance. It respects Scripture's authority while recognizing tradition's value, guiding us in understanding our faith in a well-rounded way.

By acknowledging the spectrum between *Sola Scriptura* and *Prima Scriptura*, we see that many Christians navigate these approaches fluidly. This flexibility, rooted in Scripture's primacy and enriched by tradition and reason, ensures we remain faithful to God's Word while engaging thoughtfully with the complexities of life and faith.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Source

The Holy Bible, *English Standard Version*. Wheaton: Crossway Bibles, 2001.

Secondary Source

Allison, Gregg R. *Historical Theology: An Introduction to Christian Doctrine*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2011.

Chadwick, Henry. *The Early Church*. Harmondsworth: Penguin Books, 1967.

Congar, Yves. *Tradition and Traditions: An Historical and Theological Essay*. New York: Macmillan, 1966.

Daley, Brian E. *God Visible: Patristic Christology Reconsidered*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2018.

Ferguson, Everett. *Church History: Volume One: From Christ to Pre-Reformation*. Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 2009.

Gundry, Robert H. *Matthew: A Commentary on His Handbook for a Mixed Church Under Persecution*. Grand Rapids: Eerdmans, 1994.

Kelly, J. N. D. *Early Christian Doctrines*. 5th ed. New York: Continuum, 2000.

Kugel, James L. *Traditions of the Bible: A Guide to the Bible As It Was at the Start of the Common Era*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1998.

Lienhard, Joseph T. *The Bible, the Church, and Authority: The Canon of the Christian Bible in History and Theology*. Collegeville, MN: Liturgical Press, 1995.

McGrath, Alister E. *Christian Theology: An Introduction*. 5th ed. Chichester: Wiley-Blackwell, 2011.

Neusner, Jacob. *Introduction to the Midrash*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1994.

Numbers, Ronald L. *The Creationists: From Scientific Creationism to Intelligent Design*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006.

Oberman, Heiko A. *Luther: Man Between God and the Devil*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 1989.

Pelikan, Jaroslav. *The Emergence of the Catholic Tradition (100–600)*. Vol. 1 of *The Christian Tradition: A History of the Development of Doctrine*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1971.

Svigel, Michael J. *RetroChristianity: Reclaiming the Forgotten Faith*. Wheaton: Crossway, 2012.